IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 719 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 28 OF 2006

THE GOA TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. ....Applicant

Versus

THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER, S. R. O., AND 12 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. Vijay A. Palekar, Advocate for the applicant.

Coram:- J. N. PATEL, J.

Date:- 10th November, 2006

P.C.

The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that respondents no.3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12 and 13 are not served as they are not found to be residing at their given address and therefore seeks extension of time and further to serve them by substitute service by way of publication.

For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed. The applicant to take steps to serve the respondents within four weeks.

J. N. PATEL, J.

ef.